Regional District of Central Kootenay

JOINT RESOURCE RECOVERY COMMITTEE

Open Meeting Agenda

Date:	Wednesday, August 19, 2026
Time:	1:00 pm
Location:	Hybrid Model - In-person and Remote

Directors will have the opportunity to participate in the meeting electronically. Proceedings are open to the public.

Pages

1. ZOOM REMOTE MEETING INFO

To promote openness, transparency and provide accessibility to the public we provide the ability to attend all RDCK meetings in-person or remote.

Meeting Time:

1:00 p.m. PST

Join by Video:

https://rdck-bc-ca.zoom.us/j/98572164791?pwd=plCY9gqLwVZtdzR2zmtuHXJOmq138L.1

Join by Phone:

833 955 1088 Canada Toll-free

*6 to unmute or mute
*9 to raise or lower your hand

Meeting ID: 985 7216 4791
Meeting Password: 701014

2. CALL TO ORDER & WELCOME

Chair Popoff to call the meeting to order at ________ p.m.

2.1 TRADITIONAL LANDS ACKNOWLEDGEMENT STATEMENT

We acknowledge and respect the Indigenous peoples within whose traditional lands we are meeting today.

2.2 ADOPTION OF THE AGENDA

RECOMMENDATION:
The agenda for the August 19, 2026 Joint Resource Recovery Committee meeting be adopted as circulated.

2.3 RECEIPT OF MINUTES 5 - 10

The July 15, 2026 Joint Resource Recovery Committee minutes, have been received.

3. STAFF REPORTS

3.1 FOR INFORMATION: LEGACY LANDFILL CLOSURE UPDATE [Entire RDCK] 11 - 17

The Committee Report dated August 19, 2026 from Nathan Schilman, Environmental Technologist providing an update on the Legacy Landfill Closure project, activities completed to date, and activities planned for 2026 and beyond, has been received.

3.2 RDCK CLASS A COMPOST [Entire RDCK] 18 - 27

The Committee Report dated August 19, 2026 from Matt Morrison, Organics Coordinator regarding the 2026 compost sales plan, has been received.

RECOMMENDATION:
That the Board direct staff to undertake the 2026 Compost Sales Plan at the Central Transfer Station, Ootischenia Landfill, and Creston Landfill for screened Class A compost produced from the Central and Creston Compost Facilities, and that compost be made available for purchase by customers by volume at a rate of $30 per cubic metre for loads 2 cubic meters or less, and by weight at a rate of $50 per tonne for loads greater than 2 cubic metres, and that the minimum volume charge will be $7.50 for loads less than 0.25 cubic metres;

AND FURTHER, that the revenue from the 2026 Compost Sales Plan go to Service A119 Organics Program – East Subregion for compost sold from the Creston Landfill, and Service A120 Organics Program – Central & West Subregions for compost sold from the Central Transfer Station and Ootischenia Landfill.

3.3 PURCHASE OF ROLL OFF HOIST FOR TRIDEM AXLE ROLL OFF TRUCK [Central Subregion] 28 - 38

The Committee Report dated August 19, 2026 from Larry Brown, Resource Recovery Operations Supervisor seeking authorization to purchase a Bale Station Roll Off Hoist (Model U-3225) to be installed on an RDCK owned tridem (3) axle roll-off truck to transport waste from transfer stations in the Central sub-region to the Ootischenia Landfill, and organics to and from the Central Compost facility, has been received.

RECOMMENDATION:
That the Board approve the RDCK enter into a Purchase of Goods Agreement with Universal Handling Equipment Ltd. for the supply and installation of a Bale Station Roll Off Hoist (Model U-3225) not to exceed the value of $94,354.00 plus PST ($6,604.78), for a total of $100,958.78, and that the Chair and Corporate Officer be authorized to sign the necessary documents;

AND FURTHER, that the costs be paid from Service S187 Refuse Disposal - Central Subregion.

3.4 VERBAL REPORT: HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE ROUND UP EVENTS [Entire RDCK]

Akane Norimatsu, Resource Recovery Technician will provide a verbal update on the Household Hazardous Waste (HHW) Round Up events that will be hosted by the RDCK in September.

Details of the events are as follows:

- Salmo Curling Club - September 12, 2026 from 11am - 2pm
- Creston Landfill - September 13, 2026 from 10am - 2pm
- Nakusp & District Community Complex - September 19, 2026 from 11am - 2pm
- Village of Silverton Office - September 20, 2026 from 11am - 2pm
- Castlegar & District Community Complex - September 26, 2026 from 10am - 2pm
- Kaslo Vimy Park Ring Road - September 27, 2026 from 11am - 2pm

3.5 VERBAL REPORT: TIPPING FEE INCREASE LETTERS [Entire RDCK]

Uli Wolf, General Manager of Environmental Services will provide a verbal update on tipping fee increase letters to be sent to account holders and municipalities in September.

4. JUNE 2026 SERVICE STATEMENTS 39 - 45

The following June 2026 Service Statements have been received for information:

- Service A102 Resource Recoveries
- Service S186 Refuse Disposal - East Subregion
- Service S187 Refuse Disposal - Central Subregion

- Service S188 Refuse Disposal - West Subregion

5. PUBLIC TIME

The Chair will call for questions from the public and members of the media at _____ p.m.

6. ADJOURNMENT

RECOMMENDATION:
The Joint Resource Recovery Committee meeting adjourn at ______ p.m.

REGIONAL DISTRICT OF CENTRAL KOOTENAY

JOINT RESOURCE RECOVERY COMMITTEE
OPEN MEETING MINUTES

A Joint Resource Recovery Committee meeting was held on Wednesday July 15, 2026
1:00 pm PST / 2:00 pm MST through a hybrid meeting model.

COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT

Director G. Jackman	**Electoral Area A (Chair)**	**In-person**
Director R. Tierney	Electoral Area B	In-person
Director K. Vandenberghe	Electoral Area C	In-person
Director A. Watson	Electoral Area D	In-person
Alt. Director J. Smienk	Electoral Area E	In-person
Director T. Newell	Electoral Area F	
Director W. Popoff	Electoral Area H	In-person
Director A. Davidoff	Electoral Area I	
Director H. Cunningham	Electoral Area G	In-person
Director H. Hanegraaf	Electoral Area J	
Director T. Weatherhead	Electoral Area K	In-person
Director M. McFaddin	City of Castlegar	
Director A. McLaren-Caux	Village of Nakusp	
Director J. Woodward	City of Nelson	In-person
Director D. Lockwood	Village of Salmo	
Director E. Buller	Village of Slocan	
Director S. Hewat	Village of Kaslo	In-person
Director T. Gordon	Village of Silverton	
Councillor C. Hawton	Town of Creston	

COMMITTEE MEMBERS ABSENT

Director L. Casley	Village of New Denver

STAFF PRESENT

U. Wolf	General Manager Environmental Services
A. Hamilton	Acting Resource Recovery Manager – Projects & Programs
A. Kootnifkoff	Acting Resource Recovery Manager – Operations
N. Schilman	Environmental Technologist
H. Bench	Resource Recovery Projects Advisor
A. Norimatsu	Resource Recovery Coordinator

E. Clark	Meeting Coordinator
A. Carmichael	Alt. Meeting Coordinator

1. ZOOM REMOTE MEETING INFO

Join Zoom Meeting
https://rdck-bc-ca.zoom.us/j/98572164791?pwd=plCY9gqLwVZtdzR2zmtuHXJOmq138L.1

Meeting ID: 985 7216 4791
Passcode: 701014

Dial by your location
833 955 1088 Canada Toll-free

In-Person Meeting Location for Hybrid Meeting Model
The following location was determined to hold the in-person meetings for the Joint Resource Recovery Committee:

Location Name: RDCK Board Room
Location Address: 202 Lakeside Drive, Nelson, BC

2. CALL TO ORDER & WELCOME

Chair Jackman called the meeting to order at 1:00 p.m.

2.1 Traditional Lands Acknowledgement Statement

We acknowledge and respect the Indigenous peoples within whose traditional lands we are meeting today.

2.2 Adoption of the Agenda

Moved and seconded,
And resolved:

That the reconsideration of the Ymir Transfer Station closure be added to the agenda as a late item.

2/3 vote Defeated

Moved and seconded,
And resolved:

The Agenda for the July 15, 2026 Joint Resource Recovery Committee meeting be adopted as circulated.

Carried

2.3 Receipt of Minutes

The April 15, 2026 Joint Resource Recovery Committee Minutes Revised have been received.

3. JRRC ITEMS

3.1 LANDFILL GAS FEASIBILITY STUDY RESULTS

[Entire RDCK]

The Committee Report dated July 15, 2026 from Heidi Bench, Resource Recovery Projects Advisor presenting the results of the Landfill Gas Feasibility Study, has been received.

DIRECTOR PRESENT Director Davidoff joined the meeting at 1:12 p.m.

Moved and seconded,
And resolved that it be recommended to the Board:

That the Board direct staff to initiate Landfill Gas Facilities Design Planning for the Ootischenia landfill in 2027 at the estimated cost of $50,000;

AND FURTHER that the costs be apportioned between Service S187 Refuse Disposal - Central Subregion and S188 Refuse Disposal - West Subregion.

Carried

3.2 FOR INFORMATION: CRESTON LANDFILL HOURS OF OPERATION

[East Subregion]

The Committee Report dated July 15, 2026 from Nathan Schilman, Environmental Technologist providing an update on the Creston Landfill hours of operation reduction, has been received.

3.3 FINANCIAL PLAN AMENDMENT: ECO-DEPOT ESTABLISHMENT

[East & West Subregions]

The Committee Report dated July 15, 2026 from Akane Norimatsu, Resource Recovery Technician requesting a financial plan amendment for the establishment of Eco-Depots in Creston, Nakusp and Castlegar, has been received.

Moved and seconded,
And resolved that it be recommended to the Board:

That the Board approve an amendment to the 2026 Financial Plan for Service S186 Refuse Disposal (East Subregion) to INCREASE Account TRANSFERS FROM RESERVES by $156,000 and INCREASE Account CONTRACTED SERVICES by $156,000.

Carried

RECESS/ RECONVENE The meeting recessed at 2:15 pm for a break and reconvened at 2:25 p.m.

DIRECTOR ABSENT Director McLaren-Caux left the meeting at 2:35 p.m.

Moved and seconded,
And resolved that it be recommended to the Board:

That the Board approve an amendment to the 2026 Financial Plan for Service S188 Refuse Disposal (West Subregion) to INCREASE Account TRANSFERS FROM RESERVES by $312,000 and INCREASE Account CONTRACTED SERVICES by $312,000 for Eco-Depot service establishments in Nakusp and Ootischenia.

Carried

3.4 CONTRACT AWARDS: RECYLING DEPOT LEASE AGREEMENT [Entire RDCK]

The Committee Report dated July 15, 2026 from Akane Norimatsu, Resource Recovery Technician requesting approval of the following contracts, has been received.

- License of Occupation for the Nelson Lakeside Recycling Depot
- Lease Agreement for the New Denver Recycling Depot
- Site License Agreement Amendment for the Creston Car Wash Recycling Depot

Note *– The first recommendation regarding the License of Occupation for the Nelson Lakeside Recycling Depot was referred from the February 17, 2026 Central Resource Recovery Committee meeting.*

Moved and seconded,
And resolved that it be recommended to the Board:

That the Board approve the RDCK enter into a License of Occupation with the City of Nelson for the Nelson Lakeside Recycling Depot for the period of January 1, 2026 to December 31, 2027 at a total cost of $124,290.75 (excluding GST), and that the Chair and Corporate Officer be authorized to sign the necessary documents;

AND FURTHER, that the costs be paid from Service A117 Recycling Program – Central Subregion.

Carried

Alt. Director Smienk is recorded opposed.

Moved and seconded,
And resolved that it be recommended to the Board:

That the Board approve the RDCK enter into a Lease Agreement with the Village of New Denver for the New Denver Recycling Depot for the period of June 14, 2025 to June 13, 2027, and that the Chair and Corporate Officer be authorized to sign the necessary documents.

Carried

Moved and seconded,
And resolved that it be recommended to the Board:

That the Board approve the RDCK extend the Site License Agreement with Arrow Mountain Car Wash & Mini Storage Ltd. for the Creston Car Wash Recycling Depot for the period of August 1, 2026 to July 31, 2027 at the cost of $42,000 (excluding GST), and that the Chair and Corporate Officer be authorized to sign the necessary documents;

AND FURTHER, that the costs be paid from Service No. A116 Recycling Program – East Subregion.

Carried

3.5 VERBAL REPORT: CONTAMINATED SITES REGULATION 2026 FEES UPDATES [Entire RDCK]

Alayne Hamilton, Acting Resource Recovery Manager - Programs & Projects will provide a verbal report on the recent Contaminated Sites Regulation fee changes.

The Contaminated Sites Regulation 2026 Fees Updates External Guidance document from the Ministry of Environment and Parks, has been received.

For reference, additional documentation regarding the Contaminated Sites Regulation fee changes can be found on the following agendas:

- January 21, 2026 JRRC Meeting - Correspondence for Receipt - Email from the Ministry of Environment and Parks in response to RDCK letter re. Environmental Management Act Fees

- November 12, 2025 JRRC Meeting - Committee Report from Alayne Hamilton on proposed new fees under Environmental Management Act

4. PUBLIC TIME

The Chair called for questions from the public and members of the media at 2:53 p.m.

No questions from the public.

5. ADJOURNMENT

Moved and seconded,
And resolved:

The Joint Resource Recovery Committee meeting adjourned at 2:54 p.m.

Carried

CERTIFIED CORRECT

APPROVED BY

Director G. Jackman, Chair
July 15, 2026

Committee Report – For Information

August 19, 2026

Legacy Landfill Closure Update

Author:	Nathan Schilman, Environmental Technologist
File Reference:	12-6300-20
Electoral Area/Municipality:	All Areas
Services Impacted	S186 Refuse Disposal – East Subregion S187 Refuse Disposal – Central Subregion S188 Refuse Disposal – West Subregion

1.0 PURPOSE OF REPORT

The purpose of this report is to update the Board on the Legacy Landfill Closure project, activities completed to date, and activities planned for 2026 and beyond.

2.0 BACKGROUND AND UPDATE

Background

The RDCK historically operated several small municipal landfills throughout the Regional District that are no longer active, but which have not received regulatory closure from the Ministry of Environment (MoE). The historic landfilling permits are still active, while landfilling activities ceased in the early 2000s. Most of these Legacy Landfills are presently operating as waste transfer stations.

The sites which are part of this Legacy Landfill Closure project are:

- Balfour (presently operating as a transfer station)
- Boswell (no longer in operation – not to be confused with the current Boswell Transfer Station)
- Burton (presently operating as a transfer station)
- Edgewood (presently operating as a transfer station)
- Crawford Bay (presently operating as a transfer station)
- Kaslo (presently operating as a transfer station)
- Kitchener (permitted but never built, permit now cancelled)
- Marblehead (presently operating as a transfer station)
- Rosebery (no longer in operation – not to be confused with the current Rosebery Transfer Station)
- Salmo (no longer in operation)
- Slocan (presently operating as a transfer station)

Permit Abandonment & Closure Plans

Applications for Permit Abandonment were submitted to the MoE in 2023, and in response the MoE directed the RDCK to complete Closure Plans for each of the sites, which is a requirement for closure under the BC Landfill Criteria. The exception to this is the Kitchener landfill site, which was sited and permitted, but never used – the permit for this site was officially cancelled by the MoE in February 2025.

A Closure Plan provides basic information on a site, the history of operations at the site, assesses the presence and effectiveness of final cover on the waste, addresses surface water management, site maintenance, leachate management, landfill gas management, contaminating lifespan and environmental monitoring, and various closure plan requirements as set forth by the MoE. Finally, the closure plan provides recommendations to meet said requirements to achieve closure of the site (and subsequent cancellation of the waste permit).

Closure Plans were completed by Sperling Hansen Associates (SHA) in 2025 and submitted to the MoE in August and September 2025. Staff spoke with MoE staff in June 2026, and it was stated that the Ministry typically does not respond to submitted closure plans. They recommended sending photos and summaries of work completed at each site, so that once all requirements are met, the waste permits can be cancelled accordingly.

One of the main concerns in the proper closure of any landfill is the concept of the 'contaminating lifespan' of the buried waste, and any environmental receptors that may be impacted by contamination associated with the closed landfill. The contaminating lifespan of a landfill is the time period during which it produces contaminants at concentrations that could cause undesirable environmental impacts. This lifespan typically lasts from the cessation of site operation until the waste no longer poses a threat to soil or groundwater, typically 25-30 years after operations cease for small landfills, and up to 200 years for large landfills (like Ootischenia). Given that these sites all ceased landfilling at minimum 20 years ago, the contaminating lifespan for most of the sites is nearly at an end. Further, given the remote locations of some of the landfills, few environmental receptors exist. The most common receptor is groundwater and ensuring that domestic-use water wells are not impacted by the historic waste is of high importance.

Since these legacy landfills were generally small in size, ceased operations in the early 2000s, were adequately constructed, have nearly reached the end of their contaminating lifespan, and have minimal environmental receptors, the recommendations made by SHA to achieve regulatory closure at each site are relatively minor and straight-forward. The following table outlines the recommendations for each site:

SITE	RECOMMENDATIONS	Completed?
Balfour	• Litter/debris clean up • Surface water ditching improvements • Installation of up- and down-gradient groundwater monitoring wells (and subsequent monitoring thereof)	☑ ☑
Boswell	• Litter/debris clean up • Place additional cover material • Regrade landfill side slopes • Ground/Surface water monitoring (from existing domestic wells if possible)	☑
Burton	• Litter/debris clean up • Place additional cover material	☑ ☑
Edgewood	• Litter/debris clean up • Place additional cover material • Construct barrier at edge of slope to prevent migration of metal waste	☑ ☑ ☑
Crawford Bay	• Litter/debris clean up	☑
Kaslo	• Litter/debris clean up • Regrade the area north of the site attendant building • Place additional cover material	☑ ☑ ☑
Marblehead	• Litter/debris clean up • Regrade east slope • Place additional cover material	☑
Rosebery	• Litter/debris clean up (some hand-picking still needed) • Remove exposed metal from slopes • Regrade steep slopes • Place additional cover material	☑ ☑ ☑ ☑
Salmo	• Litter/debris clean up • Decommission existing monitoring wells • Place additional cover material	
Slocan	• Continue groundwater monitoring	

Work completed to date was done by separate contractors in each subregion:

- McNally Excavating completed the civil earthwork at Kaslo and Balfour at a cost of $88,416.
- Brenton Industries completed the cleanup work at Balfour, Kaslo, and Marblehead at a cost of $11,886.
- GRS Contracting completed the cleanup work at Boswell and Crawford Bay at a cost of $3,700.
- Arrow Lakes Aggregates completed the work at Rosebery, Burton, and Edgewood at a cost of $124,604.

Work by McNally and Arrow Lakes Aggregates went over the original budget amounts by $13,205 and $13,975 respectively, due to the need for additional fill/gravel required and equipment hours to ensure projects were completed satisfactorily. The extra work and material needed was mainly attributable to the discovery of additional areas with exposed waste needing to be addressed. There was adequate budget to cover the work completed.

Below are a few photos showing ‘before’ and ‘after’ site conditions at the Edgewood transfer station, following site clean-up, and placing cover material:

Various scattered debris before cleanup

Site after cleanup

Archeological Assessments

In preparation for commencement of site clean ups and minor earthworks at some sites, Archeological Desktop Reviews (aka Archeological Overview Assessments) were completed for select sites in late 2025 to ensure that any Areas of Archeological Potential (AOP) in or around the sites were properly identified. The results of these reviews indicate that while areas of archeological potential do exist near some of the sites, the work needing to be completed will not conflict with the presence of the AOPs, and further archeological assessment is not presently warranted at any of the sites.

Contaminated Sites Regulation Closure

The Salmo, Rosebery, and Boswell sites are closed landfills where all waste management activities have ceased (the remainder of the sites still operate as transfer stations). As such, these sites will require going through the Contaminated Sites Regulation (CSR) closure process, which will require additional assessment, and possibly further remediation work. Under BC’s CSR, municipal landfilling is a listed activity that requires formal disclosure when operations stop. This disclosure ensures the province is notified that the former landfill is inactive so it can confirm whether residual contamination from past waste disposal needs investigation or further management to protect human health and the environment.

The process for CSR closure on these three sites includes:

- Submission of a Site Disclosure Statement (SDS) – completed in 2024
- Completion of Stage 1 Preliminary Site Investigations (PSI) – completed in 2026
- Completion of Stage 2 PSIs

- Completion of Detailed Site Investigations (DSI), if Stage 2 PSI identifies contamination exceeding criteria
- Completion of seasonal groundwater, surface water and vapour assessment for 2 years
- Completion of a Detailed Risk Assessment (DRA)
- Risk Mitigation
- Certification Application
- Well Decommissioning

A Stage 1 PSI is similar to the work already carried out for the closure plans, with a specific goal of identifying any potential or actual environmental concerns. This is a non-intrusive investigation. Should potential or actual environmental concerns be identified, a Stage 2 PSI is required.

A Stage 2 PSI involves targeted intrusive testing, such as soil sampling and groundwater well installation and monitoring, to confirm the presence of contamination. If contamination is confirmed, a DSI is required.

A DSI furthers the work done in the Stage 2 PSI to delineate the vertical and horizontal extent of the contamination, identify off-site migration, and support remediation planning.

Seasonal sampling for two years will be required to verify the subsurface conditions surrounding the landfill are stable, and if there is contamination, that the contamination plume is stable or decreasing.

A DRA is completed to determine if identified contamination poses an unacceptable risk to human health or the environment under anticipated future site use as reverted wild lands.

Risk Mitigation is needed to address unacceptable risks associated with the contamination identified by the DRA, if warranted.

Certification application and well decommissioning will commence following successful completion of the above tasks.

The current conclusions from the completed Stage 1 PSI state there is potential for contamination due to the buried waste, and Stage 2 PSIs are recommended to be completed at all three sites, to assess potential impacts to soil and groundwater. Staff are currently working with the consultant to refine the recommendations and develop a phased approach to investigation. An RFP will be prepared and issued for the Stage 2 PSI work.

Salmo Non-tenure Issue

The Salmo legacy site is located on Crown Provincial land. When originally permitted in 1974, no License of Occupation (LOO) tenure was granted for the site. At the recommendation of the Project Management team, Staff reached out to the provincial Lands Branch, to inform them of the lack of tenure and planned closure work, asking if an LOO was required. Following discussion of the project, the Lands Branch gave verbal permission to complete the work needed without needing tenure. A Land Act Notation of Interest will be placed over the site to flag the area as a former landfill in the absence of tenure history.

No work has been undertaken at Salmo to date, other than the Stage 1 PSI assessment. Currently the plan is to commence cleanup and earthwork after the Stage 2 PSI and subsequent assessments (if needed) are completed.

Slocan Site 'Closed'

In their closure plan, SHA states that no further work is required at the Slocan site, and the site is considered to them to be 'closed'. All that is remaining to be completed is groundwater sampling until 2049 (or until no exceedances of parameters are detected), to satisfy the contaminating lifespan requirement of the BC Landfill Criteria. This water sampling is already being done on a twice-yearly basis and will continue until 2049 at the latest.

Staff spoke with MoE staff about this site, and the Ministry has requested that the RDCK start submitting the groundwater sampling data (previously never requested) along with a basic annual report outlining any site activities.

Budget and Projected Costs

Generally, the projected amount of work required to achieve regulatory closure on the legacy landfill sites is much less than originally anticipated. Most of the earthwork for non-CSR sites should be completed by 2027, and the cost of completing the recommended work will be substantially less than projected in the current Financial Plan. However, the CSR closure assessments at the three sites (Boswell, Rosebery, Salmo) could still take a few years to complete, depending on the results of the Stage 2 site investigation. CSR closure activities can be costly if contaminants of concern are found at levels exceeding environmental criteria – it's estimated that these three sites will cost $200,000 per site to assess if no contamination exceedances are present, or upwards of $600,000 per site if there are contamination exceedances.

The 2026-2031 Financial Plan currently has over $6,000,000 allocated from 2026 to 2029 to complete closure works at all sites. At present, it's estimated that about $275,000 has been spent across all sites in 2026 and the current scope of remaining work will cost approximately $900,000 over the next three years. This includes the three Stage 2 PSIs to be done at Boswell, Salmo, and Rosebery, and earthworks at Marblehead, Salmo, and Boswell. Should contamination found at the three CSR sites require additional assessment and mitigation, the total cost could increase to an estimated average of $600,000 per site ($1.8 million total). Staff will be reducing the budgets for these remaining works as the 2027-2032 Financial Plan is drafted.

While the seven 'non-CSR' sites do not require any further assessment work at this time, it's important to note that should they ever cease transfer station operations in the future and the sites are vacated, then they will be subject to the full SDS & CSR closure process.

3.0 NEXT STEPS AND TIMELINE

The next steps and expected timelines for completion of the recommended work is as follows:

- Clean up of errant garbage and debris scattered by bears in the forest surrounding the Rosebery site (needs to be done by hand). To be completed fall 2026 or in 2027.
- Clean up of litter, decommissioning of existing wells, and placing cover material at Salmo will be completed in 2027 following completion of the Stage 2 PSI.
- Earthwork and cover placement still needed at Boswell, Marblehead, and Salmo is to be completed in 2027 to align with the current Financial Plan.
- Installation of groundwater monitoring wells at Balfour and Boswell will be completed in 2026 or 2027.
- Continued monitoring of existing wells at Slocan will continue until 2049, as recommended by SHA.
- CSR Stage 2 PSIs for Rosebery, Salmo, and Boswell will be completed in 2026 or 2027.

Following completion of the recommended closure works at each site, a summary of work completed will be prepared and submitted to the MoE.

Respectfully submitted,
Nathan Schilman – Environmental Technologist

CONCURRENCE

Acting Resource Recovery Manager – Projects & Programs – Alayne Hamilton
General Manager of Environmental Services – Uli Wolf
Chief Administrative Officer – Stuart Horn

Committee Report

August 19, 2026

RDCK Class A Compost

Author:	Matt Morrison – Organics Coordinator
File Reference:	12-6210
Electoral Area/Municipality:	A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H, I, J, K, City of Castlegar, Town of Creston, City of Nelson
Services Impacted	A119 East Compost, A120 Central West Compost

1.0 STAFF RECOMMENDATION

That the Board direct staff to undertake the 2026 Compost Sales Plan at the Central Transfer Station, Ootischenia Landfill, and Creston Landfill for screened Class A compost produced from the Central and Creston Compost Facilities, and that compost be made available for purchase by customers by volume at a rate of $30 per cubic metre for loads 2 cubic meters or less, and by weight at a rate of $50 per tonne for loads greater than 2 cubic metres, and that the minimum volume charge will be $7.50 for loads less than 0.25 cubic metres;

AND FURTHER, that the revenue from the 2026 Compost Sales Plan go to Service A119 East Compost for compost sold from the Creston Landfill, and Service A120 Central West Compost for compost sold from the Central Transfer Station and Ootischenia Landfill.

2.0 BACKGROUND/HISTORY

In 2025, compost sales were conducted successfully using the pilot sales model approved by the Board on June 19, 2025 (Resolution 324/25).

Compost produced from the Central Compost Facility (Central) was sold at the Central Salmo Transfer Station and Ootischenia Landfill (Ootischenia). Compost sold at Ootischenia was made available to customers by self-loading only. Bulk sales and machine-assisted loading were available at Central, along with self-loading. Site staff visually estimated load volumes for loads less than 1.5 cubic meters (m^3), while loads larger than 1.5 m^3 were charged by weight.

Staff had estimated that there was 910 m^3 of compost available for sale in 2025 from the Central Compost Facility, with a projected revenue of $18,216.

In total, $1,135 was sold from Ootischenia and $9,159 was sold from Central for a total of $10,294 in compost sales revenue for Service A120 Central West Compost. Based on the sale price of $20/$m^3$, staff estimate that 514 m^3 were sold.

This is less than forecast in the 2025 report and budgets for two reasons. First, when the material was screened, it had a higher moisture content than ideal, resulting in reduced rate of fines to overs than estimated, and a slower than anticipated rate of screening. Secondly, the rented screener was returned before all the material that was available for screening was processed, as the budget for screening became fully expended. That material has been stockpiled and will be screened and sold in 2026.

As directed by the Board in 2025, 280 m³ of Class A compost from the Creston Compost Facility was provided to the Creston Fields Forward Society as a donation to that organization for its own uses, distribution, and sales. Class A compost meets regulatory standards for use in home food gardens, agriculture, landscaping, and other soil amendment applications.

Sales in 2025 were conducted efficiently and effectively. Positive feedback regarding the quality and price of the compost product was received across sites. No public or staff safety incidents were reported with reasonable impact on site and administrative staff workload.

The 2026 sales approach is proposed to replicate the 2025 approach while adding RDCK-run compost sales to operations at the Creston Landfill for compost produced from the Creston Compost Facility.

3.0 PROBLEM OR OPPORTUNITY DESCRIPTION

The Creston and Central Composting Facilities produce compost that meets Class A criteria for sale and distribution to the public. Unscreened compost from 2025 will be mixed with newer material during screening to ensure quality standards are maintained.

Compost sales out of both Central and Creston Compost Facilities require use of a screener to separate the fine, usable material from larger wood waste and residual contamination. The RDCK does not yet own a screener and currently relies on the use of a rental unit for seasonal screening. The sale of compost in 2026 assumes that all the available material is screened, but this may not occur due to time, weather, or other factors. Remaining material not screened in 2026 will be retained and screened in 2027.

Selling and distributing Class A compost can provide revenue to offset operational costs associated with producing and selling compost, while providing a valuable product to residents, businesses, and partners for soil amendment needs.

The proposed pricing would allow customers to access the product at an affordable price, with the goal of selling all or most available compost within 2026. Compost screened but not sold within 2026 would be made available in the spring of 2027 before 2027 screening is conducted.

The 2026 Compost Sales Plan allows for continued assessment of pricing, method of sale, customer demand, site logistics, and future bylaw needs.

Managing public access, traffic flow, and site safety will remain primary logistical considerations. Feedback from residential, agricultural, regional partner, and internal users will allow the RDCK to assess product quality, demand, and marketing requirements as the RDCK develops experience with retailing this product.

Selling compost from the Creston Landfill in 2026 will allow RDCK staff to provide direct public access to Class A compost produced from the Creston Compost Facility, while maintaining oversight of pricing, customer service, safety planning, and revenue collection.

Estimated available compost for sales assume a 70% recover rate after screening. Approximately 1,500 m^3 will be available from the Central Compost Facility, and 300 m^3 will be available from the Creston Compost Facility. Screening at Central is expected to begin the week of August 17.

3.1 Alignment to Board Strategic Plan

Develop Relationships and Partnerships

- The RDCK Organic Waste Diversion Program relies on strong partnerships with the communities of the region.
- Distribution and sale of compost is an important part of maintaining and strengthening partnerships and creating opportunities for new relationships with residents and the private sector.

Innovate to Reduce the Impact of Waste

- Compost sales are part of the circularity of the Organic Waste Diversion Program.
  - Sales enable the participants of organic waste diversion services to access the benefits of diversion firsthand.
- Organic Waste Diversion and compost production are critical components of the RDCK Resource Recovery Plan and a key pathway to achieving landfill diversion and greenhouse gas emission objectives.

3.2 Legislative Considerations

No changes to the RDCK Resource Recovery Facilities Regulatory Bylaw are required to continue compost sales under the proposed 2026 Compost Sales Plan currently, as this is a continuation of the 2025 pilot program, with updated pricing and the addition of sales at the Creston Landfill.

The facilities are designed to produce compost in accordance with Organic Matter Recycling Regulation (OMRR) and the Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment Guidance on Composting. OMRR (Section 28) requires that at least half of the compost stored at a composting facility must be moved annually from the facility beginning the third year after facility start-up. This would apply to both the Creston and Central Compost Facilities in 2026.

Sales of compost are also regulated by the *Federal Fertilizers Act* and Regulations. Compost is required to be labelled in both French and English.

3.3 What Are the Risks?

The selling and distribution of Class A Compost in accordance with RDCK site safety policies and procedures, along with Board direction to carry out the sales and distribution plan as presented, is considered low risk.

Not distributing compost may impact perception of and participation in the organics diversion program and would not provide a beneficial product for residents, farmers, and partners. Additionally, failure to distribute compost from facilities would result in regulatory non-compliance under OMRR Section 28.

4.0 PROPOSED SOLUTION

Staff recommend that the 2026 Compost Sales Plan replicate the 2025 sales model while adding RDCK-run public sales at the Creston Landfill for the first time. Compost will be available to customers on a first come, first served basis.

Sales and Distribution

Volume-based sales of Class A compost will be available at the Central (Salmo) Transfer Station, Ootischenia Landfill, and Creston Landfill. To manage hauling costs and product availability at Ootischenia, residential purchases at that site will be limited to a maximum of 2 m^3 per customer per day.

Loads of 2 m^3 or less will be sold by volume, based on visual estimates completed by site staff to the nearest 0.25 m^3. A minimum charge will apply to loads estimated at less than 0.25 m^3. Loads greater than 2 m^3 will be sold by weight and will generally require machine loading. These sales will require advance notice so operator time, equipment, and safe site access can be arranged.

Machine loading will only be available at the Central and Creston Compost Facilities when regular operating hours align with Facility Operator availability and will occur at the discretion of the operator based on site conditions and equipment access.

Self-loading will be available for smaller loads and whenever machine loading is not available. Customers using self-loading will be responsible for bringing their own tools, containers, and supplies. Staff may lend tools where available; however, customer-supplied tools are preferred to reduce the risk of loss or damage to RDCK equipment.

Residential trucks and trailers requesting machine loading must have a minimum capacity of 0.5 m^3. Machine loading is not guaranteed.

Municipal and Partner Distribution:

Replicating 2025 distribution, it is recommended that 20% of the screened Class A compost be allocated to municipal and regional partners. Twenty percent for partners will be distributed based on contributed tonnage of feedstock to the composting facilities within their waste catchment. Should a municipal or regional partner not request their allocated portion of compost, this amount will be retained by the RDCK for sales and distribution.

The City of Castlegar, Town of Creston, and City of Nelson have not requested compost for their own use or resale in 2026 currently. Should these municipalities request compost in 2026, it would be made available based on the calculated allocation.

a. **Regional District of Kootenay Boundary (RDKB)**
The RDKB has requested access to their full allocation of compost for 2026 at the proposed price per tonne. The estimated amount of compost available to the RDKB is 115 m^3.

b. RDCK Internal Uses

Up to 10 m³ of compost is recommended for distribution free of charge for use at RDCK operated parks and recreation facilities. This continued provision of compost for internal operations supports the enhancement of facilities and public places and provides continued assessment of real-world performance of the product.

Agricultural and Non-profit Distribution:

No specific pricing for the agricultural sector is proposed for 2026. Agricultural customers will access the bulk distribution method at the Central or Creston Compost Facilities.

The RDCK will work to promote compost sales to the agricultural sector through social media and submissions to agrarian focused newsletters and community groups. Bulk purchases of compost are an efficient and preferred method of selling the material.

For 2026, staff do not recommend donating the full amount of compost produced from the Creston Compost Facility to the Creston Fields Forward Society for redistribution or another organization. Staff recommend that 1% of the total compost from the Central and Creston Compost Facilities be allocated for non-profit organizations that grow and supply food to local areas.

Organizations can apply by email to the RDCK for access to free compost. Up to 2 m³ per organization is recommended, unless otherwise authorized by a manager. This product will be made available on a first come first served basis until the allocated amount is reached (30 m³ for Creston, 125 m³ for Central).

Start of Sales

Pending Board approvals, testing, screening, and operational readiness, compost screened in 2026 will be made available for sale in fall 2026. Compost will be made available during regular operating hours at the Central Transfer Station, Ootischenia Landfill, and Creston Landfill whenever possible.

4.1 Financial Considerations of the Proposed Solution

Distribution and sale of compost is part of the overall operating budgets for Allocation Services A119 East Compost and A120 Central West Compost.

The proposed 2026 pricing applies a 50% increase to the 2025 pilot pricing to better reflect market value of the product and contribute more appropriately to cost recovery for the allocation services A120 and A119. The 2026 pricing was determined by calculating the cost of distributing the material, including equipment operating time, operator time, added RDCK staff time, and a contingency. The 2026 increase is intended to support cost recovery for sales as well as compost facility operations while maintaining access to an affordable soil amendment for residential and agricultural uses.

A single price for compost to be sold at all sites is recommended. For volume-based sales, the proposed 2026 pricing is $30 per cubic metre. A minimum charge of $7.50 is proposed for loads 0.25 m³s or less.

For weight-based sales, the proposed 2026 pricing is $50 per tonne, representing a 43% increase from the 2025 rate of $35 per tonne, rounded to the nearest $0.25. For loads greater than 100 kg but less than 1 tonne, the standard rate based on actual tonnage will apply.

The revenue from compost sold from the Central and Ootischenia facilities (West and Central sub-regions) will go towards Service A120 Central West Compost. For compost sold in the East sub-region, the revenue will go towards Service A119 East Compost.

Assuming all compost available is screened and sold in 2026 from Central and Ootischenia at the proposed pricing, staff estimate revenues for Service A120 Central West Compost to be $44,706.

Assuming all compost available is screened and sold in 2026 from the Creston Compost Facility at the proposed pricing, staff estimate revenues for Service A119 East Compost to be $8,896.

Staff recommend charging the RDKB and other municipal partners the same 2026 compost pricing as Central, Ootischenia, and Creston customers, but note the alternative option to provide municipal and regional partners with a reduced rate for screened Class A compost.

4.2 Risks with the Proposed Solution

The proposed solution carries low risk, as the proposed 2026 Pilot Sales Plan allows the RDCK to meet its regulatory obligations for the operation of compost facilities, while remaining flexible to the methods, pricing and distribution for future sales planning.

Staff note that there are site specific safety considerations that will be addressed through the process of sales planning and arrangement of sale events. Staff are consulting with the RDCK Safety Advisor and are designing the operation of sales with worker and public safety as the top priority.

4.3 Resource Allocation and Workplan Impact

Field Supervisors and Lead Hands will support the on-site logistics, while the Organics Coordinator, along with the Mechanical Operations and Site Supervisor and Acting Resource Recovery Manager – Projects and Programs will direct the administration and sale planning.

The Central and Creston Compost Facility operator will be required to facilitate sales. Additional Recycling and Waste Educator or Landfill Attendant time may be required to support sale events. Overtime is not expected. Compost sales are a critical component of the compost facility operations; therefore, the additional work associated with compost sales is expected and within the workplan of staff involved.

The addition of compost sales at the Creston and Ootischenia Landfills may increase traffic and create some logistical challenges that will require advanced planning and strong coordination between the RDCK and the contracted landfill operator.

4.4 Public Benefit and Stakeholder Engagement of Proposed Solution

The sale of compost has significant public benefits. Locally produced and readily available compost is limited, and provision of an affordable soil amendment will support all users of the material.

The RDCK has conducted stakeholder engagement with its partners and local organizations.

The compost sales and availability will be well advertised across media platforms to inform customers of the location, timing, pricing, and other details associated with the sales.

4.5 Leveraging Technology

Not applicable.

4.5 Measuring Success

The 2026 Compost Sales Plan will allow staff to continue assessing methods, demand for the product, pricing, and operational logistics. Success will be measured by the amount of compost sold or distributed, review of staff time, costs associated with the process, and customer and partner feedback.

Testimonials (positive and negative) received from the public, RDCK Parks, and Partners on the performance of the product will also be used to determine success. Compost revenues will be compared against the cost of conducting sales, along with public and internal testimonial of the quality and performance of the product.

5.0 ALTERNATIVE SOLUTION(S)

Alternative Solution 1:

Sell screened Class A compost at a higher price to more closely match commercially available material from private suppliers for the purpose of increasing revenue for Services A119 East Compost and A120 Central West Compost. The current proposed pricing is consistent with other Regional Districts and Municipalities that sell Class A compost produced from food waste in BC but is significantly (20-50%) lower than what commercial retailers sell Class A compost (that may or may not contain compost from post-consumer food waste).

Alternative Solution 2:

Sell or distribute compost to municipal and regional partners at a reduced price as a method of recognizing the value and importance of these partnerships in maintaining the broader Organic Waste Diversion Program. A reduction of 5-15% is considered reasonable by staff. A reduced rate for municipal and regional partners may serve to maintain out-of-region use of the Central Compost Facility and increase general support and enthusiasm of green bin services and organics diversion more generally.

5.1 Financial Considerations of the Alternative Solution(s)

Alternative Solution 1:

A higher price for screened Class A compost would potentially increase revenues for Service A119 East Compost and Service A120 Central West Compost and could lead to greater cost recovery for the operations of RDCK Compost Facilities. The proposed pricing is significantly cheaper than what is currently available from private retailers of Class A compost. A possible price range of \$50-\$100 per m³ (\$100-\$200/tonne would be more consistent with privately available products. This would increase projected 2026 revenues for Services A119 and A120 by an estimated 66% to 230%, assuming compost demand would not be impacted by higher prices.

Alternative Solution 2:

Reduced pricing for municipal and regional partners would reduce overall projected revenue for compost sales. Reducing the price could impact revenues by the amount recommended for reduction for each service. However, if the savings provided to partners were passed forward onto residents, other benefits may be realized.

5.2 Risks with the Alternative Solution(s)

Alternative Solution 1:

Increasing the price substantially may draw criticism from RDCK residents and may result in reduced compost sales in 2026. RDCK compost sales experience remains in early stages and elevating the price at the current time may cause frustration for customers. Since sales have not yet taken place from the Creston facility since it began operations in 2022, Creston residents may perceive the increase as unfair. However, the proposed pricing remains low and could increase somewhat before impacting the perception of value for the product.

Alternative Solution 2:

Any reduction in potential revenue for either Service A119 or A120 poses financial risk due to the high operating costs of the facilities and the relatively low revenue currently received. Due to the lack of requests from municipal partners, with only the RDKB expressing interest in purchasing their allocation of compost, the potential benefits of providing a reduced price are unlikely to be realized in the short term and may not result in increased participation or improved perception.

5.3 Resource Allocation and Workplan Impact

Alternative Solution 1:

This option has similar resource allocation and workplan impacts but would require additional communication to explain the change to the recommended pricing.

Alternative Solution 2:

No significant staff impacts are noted for this option. Some additional communication informing partners of the opportunity to purchase compost at a reduced rate would be required.

5.4 Public Benefit and Stakeholder Engagement of Proposed Solution

Alternative Solution 1:

This option is perceived to have reduced public benefit due to increased cost of material but could lead to increased revenues resulting in improved cost-recovery for the allocation services.

Alternative Solution 2:

Municipal and regional partners have been engaged regarding access to compost for 2026. Reduced pricing would support positive relationships with stakeholders.

5.5 Measuring Success

Alternative Solution 1:

Revenue from compost sales would be the primary indicator of success. Feedback from customers would also be used to assess the performance of this option.

Alternative Solution 2:

Continued support and commitments from municipal and regional partners to provide high-quality organic waste diversion services is a primary indicator of offering a reduced price for compost.

6.0 OPTIONS CONSIDERED BUT NOT PRESENTED

1. The option of bagging and selling compost was considered again for 2026 and determined to not be feasible at this time.

2. An additional option to seek a bulk distributor for all the screened Class A compost from both the Central and Creston Compost Facilities was considered but is not presented. A Request for Proposal would be needed to select the vendor for this option.

3. Selling compost to the public out of other RDCK Resource Recovery sites is not considered feasible for 2026. Expansion of sale locations to other Resource Recovery facilities such as the Grohman Narrows, Slocan, Balfour, or Kaslo Transfer Stations may be possible once compost sale operations have become better established at primary sites adjacent to larger communities with either curbside collection services or compost facilities. The estimated cost for preparing each additional site for public compost sales is $10,000. This includes leveling, signage, and installation of lock blocks.

The added cost of back-hauling finished product combined with the cost of setting up sales areas, conducting staff training, and maintaining the expanded system are reasons to not proceed with expanded sale locations at this time. Generally, it is uncommon for regional or municipal governments to offer sale of compost product at satellite facilities located a significant distance away from core operations of organic waste collection and processing. However, should staff receive direction, they will include sale location expansions into work planning for future years.

7.0 OPTIONS SUMMARY

Option 1:

Recommendation:

That the Board direct staff to undertake the 2026 Compost Sales Plan at the Central Transfer Station, Ootischenia Landfill, and Creston Landfill area for screened Class A compost produced from the Central and Creston Compost Facilities, and that compost be made available for purchase by customers by volume at a rate of $30 per cubic metre or by weight at a rate of $50 per tonne, and that the minimum volume charge will be $7.50 for loads less than 0.25 cubic metres;

AND FURTHER, that revenue from the 2026 Compost Sales Plan go to Service A119 East Compost for compost sold from the Creston Landfill, and Service A120 Central West Compost for compost sold from the Central Transfer Station and Ootischenia Landfill.

Option 2:

Recommendation:

That the Board direct staff to sell screened Class A compost at a higher price to more closely match commercially available material from private suppliers;

AND FURTHER, that staff return to the Committee with updated proposed pricing for consideration prior to initiating compost sales under this option.

Option 3:
Recommendation:
That the Board direct staff to sell or distribute screened Class A compost to municipal and regional partners at a reduced cost as a method of recognizing the value and importance of these partnerships in maintaining the broader Organic Waste Diversion Program;

AND FURTHER, that reduced pricing for municipal and regional partners may reduce overall projected compost sales revenue but may support continued partner participation in organic waste diversion services.

8.0 RECOMMENDATION

That the Board direct staff to undertake the 2026 Compost Sales Plan at the Central Transfer Station, Ootischenia Landfill, and Landfill area for screened Class A compost produced from the Central and Creston Compost Facilities, and that compost be made available for purchase by customers by volume at a rate of $30 per cubic metre or by weight at a rate of $50 per tonne, and that the minimum volume charge will be $7.50 for loads less than 0.25 cubic metres;

AND FURTHER, that revenue from the 2026 Compost Sales Plan go to Service A119 East Compost for compost sold from the Creston Landfill, and Service A120 Central West Compost for compost sold from the Central Transfer Station and Ootischenia Landfill.

Respectfully submitted,
Matt Morrison – Organics Coordinator

CONCURRENCE

Acting Resource Recovery Manager – Projects & Programs – Alayne Hamilton
General Manager Environmental Services – Uli Wolf
Chief Administrative Officer – Stuart Horn

ATTACHMENTS:
None

Committee Report

August 19, 2026

Purchase of a Roll Off Hoist to be installed on a Tridem Axle Roll-off Truck for the Central Sub-Region

Author:	Larry Brown, Resource Recovery Operations Supervisor
File Reference:	06_2230_10_2026_2026_021_ENV
Electoral Area/Municipality:	CENTRAL SUB-REGION
Services Impacted	Refuse Disposal – Central Subregion Service S187

1.0 STAFF RECOMMENDATION

The purpose of this report is to seek authorization to purchase a Bale Station Roll Off Hoist (Model U-3225) to be installed on an RDCK owned tridem (3) axle roll-off truck to transport waste from transfer stations in the Central sub-region to the Ootischenia Landfill, and organics to and from the Central Compost facility.

2.0 BACKGROUND/HISTORY

The RDCK purchased a tridem axle roll-off truck was delivered in early July 2026. The purchase of the truck was for a cab and chassis only and does not include the bin hoist mechanism.

The hoist system required for the type of roll-off bin operated by the RDCK is known as a “Bale Hook” or “Dempster.”

The bin hoist device was not an option from any of the truck suppliers. The fabrication, supply, and installation of the bin hoist was always intended to be done by another supplier after the delivery of the truck

On February 17, 2026 opportunity 2026-021-RR-ITQ was posted on BC Bid and the RDCK website. This opportunity was for the supply and installation of a Bale Station Roll Off Hoist onto an RDCK tridem axle roll off truck. The closing date for the opportunity was March 3, 2026.

While the opportunity was open a number of potential suppliers called with questions. Universal Handling Equipment Company also called during the opening and informed us that they were working on a bid but, at the time of closing, no submissions from any suppliers were received.

On March 10, 2026, a week after the closing of the opportunity, a Proposal was received from Universal Handling Equipment Company, Red Deer Alberta for the supply and installation of a 50k Bale Hook Hoist.

3.0 PROBLEM OR OPPORTUNITY DESCRIPTION

The Central sub-region currently has two tandem axle roll-off trucks;
Unit #185 – Model year 2022,
Unit #186 – Model year 2022.

Previous roll-off trucks were traded in as part of the 2022 purchases. The tridem axle roll-off truck, once received and outfitted with the Bale Hook Hoist mechanism will increase the overall capacity of the fleet to move waste from sites to either the landfill or the compost facility. Operations at the Grohman transfer station will also benefit from more access to a roll-off truck to move roll off bins at the site. Currently, when trucks are not available this is largely being completed with the site loader which contributes to wear and tear on the site and equipment. Further, with the addition of compost hauling in 2023 the existing fleet of two Central Sub-region roll-off trucks lacks the capacity to move waste efficiently, especially if one unit is out of service for repairs.

The tridem axle truck will have a greater load carrying capacity than the existing tandem axle trucks. A tandem axle configuration has a gross vehicle weight capacity of 17,000 kg and a tridem axle is rated at 24,000 kg. The additional weight carrying capacity will allow for the movement of waste from RDCK sites impacted by the Ministry of Transportation and Transit (MOTT) Seasonal Load Restriction program which occurs annually from January to May.

3.1 Alignment to Board Strategic Plan

This procurement aligns with the RDCK's Strategic Objective to manage our assets and service delivery in a fiscally responsible manner.

3.2 Legislative Considerations

The RDCK purchasing policy was followed for procurement, using a competitive, open, transparent and non-discriminatory process.

3.3 What Are the Risks

Not awarding a contract for the procurement of a Bale Hook Hoist mechanism for the tridem axle roll-off truck would rely on existing equipment and inevitably lead to inoperability of facilities in the Central sub-region once the bins are full. This would be frustrating for residents and could lead to an increase in illegal dumping.

4.0 PROPOSED SOLUTION

Staff propose awarding the contract for the procurement and installation of the Bale Hook Hoist mechanism to Universal Handling Company Ltd.

4.1 Financial Considerations of the Proposed Solution

In the 2025 Financial Plan, the combination of a roll-off truck and hoist system in Service S187, Central Waste under Capital Expenses (CAP1411) is budgeted up to $400,000. Dawson Trucks' price for the roll-off truck is $245,595, plus PST ($17,192), for a total of $262,787. The cost for a bin hoist system is $94,354.00, plus PST ($6,604.78), for a total of $100,958.78. In addition, there are a number of other items required such as winter tires, chains, and a radio. The estimated cost for these additional items is $7,000. The cost estimate for the combination of the truck, hoist and other items is $348,949, plus PST ($23,796.78), for a total cost of $379,745.78, which is within the budgeted amount.

When the final cost for the truck, hoist and other items noted above will be brought forward for authorization to proceed with Municipal Finance Authority Equipment Financing. The 2025 Financial Plan accounted for borrowing up to $400,000 for the combined purchases over a five-year repayment period.

Staff will be proposing a Fleet Replacement Reserve as part of the Draft 2026 Financial Plan process to limit the reliance on equipment financing for future asset replacement.

4.2 Risks with the Proposed Solution

Universal Handling Equipment will be supplying a Universal brand of Bale Station Roll Off-Hoist. Service and warranty repairs are available from the manufacturer located in Red Deer, Alberta.

The procurement and use of the tridem axle roll-off truck complete with Roll-Off Hoist is considered low risk.

4.3 Resource Allocation and Workplan Impact

Oversight of the implementation of the roll-off truck into the fleet, as well as the on-going service and repairs, will continue to be overseen by the Resource Recovery Operations Supervisor. No changes to staff work plans are required.

4.4 Public Benefit and Stakeholder Engagement of Proposed Solution

No stakeholder engagement is required. The implementation and use of the tridem axle roll-off truck will benefit the public by maintaining the efficient movement of waste.

4.5 Leveraging Technology

RDCK site operations staff currently use the ConnectTeams app to report bin status including maintenance and repairs. The Operations Supervisor receives these reports and ensures all dispatch and maintenance issues are dealt with efficiently. This technology has helped to maximize bin operational efficiency.

4.5 Measuring Success

Success will be measured by efficient waste haul and low numbers for maintenance and repair requests as well as out-of-service reports.

5.0 ALTERNATIVE SOLUTION(S)

No alternative solutions are proposed as the funds were approved to proceed with the purchase of a Bale Station Roll Off Hoist onto a tridem axle roll-off truck in 2025 and the one proposal received is compliant and within budget.

6.0 OPTIONS CONSIDERED BUT NOT PRESENTED

No other options were considered.

7.0 RECOMMENDATION

That the Board approve the RDCK enter into a Purchase of Goods Agreement with Universal Handling Equipment Ltd for the supply and installation of a Bale Station Roll Off Hoist (Model U-3225) not to exceed a value of $94,354.00 plus PST ($6,604.78), for a total of $100,958.78, and that the Chair and Corporate Officer be authorized to sign the necessary documents;

AND FURTHER, that the costs be paid from Service S187 Refuse Disposal - Central Subregion.

Respectfully submitted,
Larry Brown – Resource Recovery Operations Supervisor

CONCURRENCE

Resource Recovery Manager, Operations – Aimee Kootnikoff
General Manager of Environmental Services – Uli Wolf
Corporate Administrative Office – Stuart Horn

ATTACHMENTS:
Attachment A – Draft Purchase of Goods Agreement

ATTACHMENT A

Purchase of Goods
Agreement

Contract #: 2026-021-ENV
Project: Bale Station Roll Off Hoist – Model U-3225
GL Account #: 60000 / CAP1411-100
Board Resolution(s): Add Board Resolution(s) # relevant to this contract

THIS AGREEMENT executed and dated for reference the: _____ day of ___________, **2026**
(Day) (Month) (Year)

BETWEEN
REGIONAL DISTRICT OF CENTRAL KOOTENAY

(hereinafter called the "RDCK")
at the following address:
Box 590, 202 Lakeside Drive
Nelson, BC V1L 5R4

Agreement Administrator: First and Last Name
Telephone: Phone #
Email: Email

AND

UNIVERSAL HANDLING EQUIPMENT COMPANY (RED DEER) LIMITED
(hereinafter called the "Vendor")
at the following address:
Address

Agreement Administrator: First and Last Name
Telephone: Phone #
Email: Email

IN WITNESS WHEREOF the parties hereto have duly executed this Agreement as of the day and year first above written.

REGIONAL DISTRICT OF CENTRAL KOOTENAY	**UNIVERSAL HANDLING EQUIPMENT COMPANY (RED DEER) LIMITED**
______________________ (Signature of Authorized Signatory)	______________________ (Signature of Authorized Signatory)
______________________ (Name and Title of Authorized Signatory)	______________________ (Name and Title of Authorized Signatory)
______________________ (Signature of Authorized Signatory)	______________________ (Signature of Authorized Signatory)
______________________ (Name and Title of Authorized Signatory)	______________________ (Name and Title of Authorized Signatory)

GENERAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS

FOR GOOD AND VALUABLE CONSIDERATION, THE RECEIPT OF WHICH IS CONFIRMED, THE RDCK AND THE VENDOR AGREE AS FOLLOWS:

1 SCHEDULES - The Schedules to this Agreement (including any appendices or documents incorporated by reference into those Schedules) are part of this Agreement.

THE VENDOR'S OBLIGATIONS

2 The Vendor shall:

(a) Provide the goods detailed in Schedule "A" of this Agreement (the "**Goods**").

(b) Undertake all work and supply all materials necessary to supply the Goods, unless stipulated otherwise in Schedule A;

(c) Comply with all applicable municipal, provincial and federal legislation and regulations;

(d) At its own expense, obtain all permits and licenses necessary for the supply of the Goods, and on request provide the RDCK with proof of having obtained such licenses or permits;

(e) Not assign this Agreement, not subcontract any of its obligations under this Agreement, to any person, firm or corporation without the prior written consent of the RDCK;

(f) At all times, exercise the standard of care, skill and diligence normally exercised and observed by persons engaged in the supply of similar Goods;

(g) At all times, treat as confidential all information and material supplied to or obtained by the Vendor as a result of this Agreement and not permit the publication, release or disclosure of the same without the prior written consent of the RDCK;

(h) Notwithstanding the provision of any insurance coverage by the RDCK, indemnify and save harmless the RDCK, its elected officials, officers, employees and agents and their successor(s), assign(s) and authorized representative(s) and each of them (collectively the "**RDCK People**") from and against losses, claims, damages, actions, and causes of action (collectively referred to as "**Claims**"), that the RDCK may sustain, incur, suffer or be put to at any time either before or after the expiration or termination of this Agreement, that arise out of errors, omissions or negligent acts of the Vendor or its servant(s), agent(s) or employee(s) under this Agreement, excepting always that this indemnity does not apply to the extent, if any, to which the Claims are caused by errors, omissions or the negligent acts of the RDCK its other Vendor(s), assign(s) and authorized representative(s) or any other persons;

(i) Use due care that no person or property is injured and no rights infringed in the supply of the Goods, and shall be solely responsible for all losses, damages, costs and expenses in respect to any damage or injury, including death, to persons or property incurred in providing the Goods or in any other respect whatsoever;

(j) Without limiting its obligations or liabilities under this Agreement, and at its own expense, the Vendor shall obtain and maintain in force through the duration of the this Agreement Commercial General Liability insurance in an amount necessary to cover the risks it has assumed or may encounter as a result of entering into this Agreement;

(k) The Vendor shall be solely responsible for determining and obtaining what additional insurance coverage, if any, is necessary or advisable for the protection of the Vendor or that is required by the Vendor to fulfill its obligations under this Agreement, with such additional insurance maintained and provided at the sole expense of the Vendor;

(l) Where samples of materials or supplies are requested by the RDCK, submit them to the RDCK for the RDCK's approval prior to their use in the Goods;

(m) The Vendor shall deliver the Goods to the shipping location designated by the RDCK in accordance with INCOTERM (2020) Duty Delivery Paid (DDP);

(n) For all shipments originating outside of Canada, the Vendor will ensure that properly executed customs documents are completed and must accompany each shipment, unless instructed otherwise by the RDCK; The Vendor will be responsible for paying any excise tax or other customs duties or levies in force at the time of shipment. The Vendor will clearly label and identify the RDCK's Agreement Administrator's contact information as indicated on the first page of this Agreement;

(o) The Vendor will supply Goods pursuant to Schedule A and to the place or places specified in this Agreement; If a method of shipping, carrier or route is specified, no deviation from those terms may be made without the RDCK's prior approval; The Vendor will be responsible for any additional costs, losses or damages resulting from an unauthorized deviation in method of shipping, carrier or route; The RDCK reserves the right to cancel any Goods not shipped as specified in this Agreement;

(p) No extra charges by Vendor will be allowed for tarping, special handling, packing boxing or crating, or for cases, packages, pallets, drums, reels or boxes, unless agreed to by the RDCK; The Vendor will mark all cases, packages, and bundles delivering the Goods with the contract number and RDCK Agreement Administrator's contact information as indicated on the first page of this Agreement; The Vendor will enclose a packing slip with each shipment showing the contract number, RDCK Agreement Administrator's contact information and full description of all Goods; The Vendor will be responsible for any damage to the Goods resulting from improper packing;

(q) No substitutions of Goods will be accepted unless they are authorized by the RDCK and, if authorized, must conform to specifications or other requirements and be equal or better in terms of value, functionality, performance, durability and availability;

(r) All Goods are subject to inspection and approval by the RDCK upon delivery; The RDCK may refuse acceptance of Goods that are damaged or defective, that do not conform to specifications or that otherwise fail to comply with this Agreement; The RDCK may inspect Goods at any time, but neither inspection nor non-inspection by the RDCK relieves the Vendor of its obligations hereunder; If the RDCK considers that any Goods are damaged or defective, fail to conform to specifications or fail to otherwise meet requirements herein, the Vendor at its sole expense will promptly make the necessary corrections, replacements or repairs; The Vendor will be responsible for all costs associated with the return and replacement of any damaged, defective or non-conforming Goods, including all freight and handling charges;

(s) Title to the Goods will pass to the RDCK upon the earlier of payment for such Goods by the RDCK or when the Goods are accepted by the RDCK, provided that risk of loss and damage will remain with the Vendor and will not transfer to the RDCK until the Goods are accepted by the RDCK;

(t) Without limiting any additional warranties provided by the Vendor, the Vendor warrants that all Goods will be new, of merchantable quality, free from defects in workmanship, design and materials and fit for their intended purpose, will strictly conform to applicable samples, specifications, drawings or other requirements furnished by the RDCK and will be transferred to the RDCK free and clear of liens, charges and encumbrances; Unless a longer period is specified in this Agreement, the Vendor will, without cost to the RDCK, correct, replace or repair any Goods which are defective or become defective, by reason of workmanship, design or material, or that otherwise fail to conform to the requirements of this Agreement, within one year from the date of acceptance by the RDCK; No express warranty or condition herein, nor any other term, will limit or exclude any warranty or condition otherwise imposed by statute; All warranties will remain in effect notwithstanding the expiry or earlier termination of this Agreement; The Vendor will assign to the RDCK or will enforce for the benefit of the RDCK any and all warranties granted by manufacturers, suppliers or sub-contractors;

(u) All electrical equipment must be Canadian Standards Association (CSA) approved or Province of British Columbia Electrical Energy Board approved, and must bear the appropriate sticker.

THE REGIONAL DISTRICT OF CENTRAL KOOTENAY'S OBLIGATIONS

3 The RDCK shall:

(a) Subject to the provisions of this Agreement, pay the Vendor, in full payment for the Goods which in the opinion of the RDCK at the times set out is Schedule B of this Agreement (herein called "**Contract Price**"), and the Vendor shall accept such payment as full payment for the Goods;

(b) Make available to the Vendor all available information considered by the RDCK to be pertinent to the supply of the Goods;

(c) Give the Vendor reasonable notice of anything the RDCK considers likely to materially affect the provision of the Goods.

CHANGES TO AGREEMENT

4 The RDCK and the Vendor acknowledge that it may be necessary to modify the Goods, the schedule of deliverables and/or the budget in order to supply the Goods. In the event that the RDCK or the Vendor wishes to make a change or changes to the Goods, the deliverables schedule and/or the budget it shall notify the other of the proposed change and reason(s) therefore. The party receiving the notification shall review and consider the proposal for change and shall as soon as is reasonably possible and no longer than within five (5) working days, advise in writing the party proposing the change whether it agrees to the change. Where the parties agree to the change, such agreement will form part of this Agreement and be formalized by means of an Agreement Amendment.

TERMINATION OF AGREEMENT

5 In the event of a substantial failure of a party to perform in accordance with the terms and conditions of this Agreement, it may be terminated by the other party on five (5) days' written notice.

6 The RDCK may, at its sole discretion, terminate this Agreement on ten (10) days' notice, and the payment of funds required to be made pursuant to Section 7 shall discharge the RDCK of all of its liability to the Vendor under this Agreement.

7 Where this Agreement expires or is terminated before 100% completion of supply of Goods, the RDCK shall pay to the Vendor that portion of the Contract Price which is equal to the portion of Goods supplied to the satisfaction of the RDCK prior to expiration or termination.

8 Where the Vendor fails to perform or comply with the provisions of this Agreement the RDCK may, in addition to terminating this Agreement, pursue such remedies as it deems necessary.

GENERAL TERMS

9 The RDCK shall be the sole judge of the work, material and the standards of workmanship in respect of both quality and quantity of the Goods.

10 This Agreement shall be governed by the laws of the Province of British Columbia and the parties agree to attorn to the exclusive jurisdiction of the Courts of the Province of British Columbia.

11 Time shall be of the essence of this Agreement.

12 This Agreement shall be binding upon the parties and their respective successors, heirs and permitted assigns.

13 A waiver of any provision or breach by the Vendor of any provision of this Agreement shall be effective only if it is in writing and signed by the RDCK.

14 A waiver under Section 13 shall not be deemed to be a waiver of any subsequent breach of the same or any other provision of this Agreement.

15 The RDCK may, at its discretion, notify the Vendor that the terms, amounts and types of insurance required to be obtained by the Vendor hereunder be changed.

16 Where the Vendor is a corporation, it does hereby covenant that the signatory hereto has been duly authorized by the requisite proceedings to enter into and execute this Agreement on behalf of the Vendor.

17 Where the Vendor is a partnership, all partners are to execute this Agreement.

18 Sections 2 (g) (h) of this Agreement will, notwithstanding the expiration or earlier termination of the Term, remain and continue in full force and effect.

19 Except as expressly set out in this

Agreement, nothing herein shall prejudice or affect the rights and powers of the RDCK in the exercise of its powers, duties or functions under the Community Charter or the Local Government Act or any of its bylaws, all of which may be fully and effectively exercised as if this Agreement had not been executed and delivered.

SCHEDULE A: TERMS, DELIVERY LOCATION AND GOODS

1 **TERM -** Notwithstanding the date of execution of this Agreement the Vendor shall provide the Goods described in Schedule A at the cost and terms described therein commencing on **September 1, 2026** and ending on **December 31, 2026** (the "**Term**").

2 **LOCATION -** The location for delivery of the Goods shall be Grohman Transfer Station, located at 1201 Insight Drive, in Nelson, Province of British Columbia, V1L 5P5

3 **GOODS** - The Vendor must provide the Goods as follows:

(a) U-3225 – 23'6" Bed – 50k Roll Off Hoist – Bale Station Roll Off Hoist

- Bail Stops
- Bed Up Indicator Light (ANSI Standard) & Safety Bed Props
- Power Take Off – Hot-Shift c/w Clutch Pack
- Automatic ICC Bumper (Powder coated yellow w/ LED light package)
- Steel Checker Plate Tool Box 38"
- Rear Spot Lights on Fenders (One per side) LED
- Pintle Hook – PH410
- Tire Chains – (1) DS, (1) PS, 3155L/R (Req. for BC Law)
- Chain hangers
- Extra Chain Clearance (8" Instead of 6")
- Sandblasted, primed and painted urethan black.
- Mounted on Customer Supplied Chassis

Delivery to 1201 Insight Drive, Nelson, BC.

SCHEDULE B: CONTRACT PAYMENT TERMS

1 Total budget shall not exceed **$94,354 dollars** (excluding taxes).

2 Invoices to be submitted upon completion of the Project, and must be submitted no later than January 15th of the following year.

3 The following contract number and GL code(s) **must** be quoted on the invoice(s):

Contract #: 2026-021-ENV

GL Code: 60000 / CAP1411-100

4 Invoices must be emailed to ap@rdck.bc.ca, with the RDCK contract administrator identified on the first page of this contract in cc.

5 Invoices to be paid on net 30 day term.

6 The Vendor's GST number must be included on invoices where GST is applicable, in which case, GST shall also be listed as a separate line item.

7 The Vendor's name on the invoice must match the name identified in the first page of this contract.

SCHEDULE C: SUPPLIER QUOTE

NOT INCLUDED IN DRAFT

Regional District of Central Kootenay
Unaudited Service Statement

A102 Resource Recoveries
Period: June 2026

REVENUE

Account		Project		Current Month	Year To Date Actuals	Total Year Budget	Budget Remaining	Budget Utilization
42030	User Fees			0	1,640	0	(1,640)	0%
43025	Grants - Specified			0	0	109,500	109,500	0%
43100	Proceeds from Borrowing			0	0	65,000	65,000	0%
44020	Investment Income & Interest			0	829	0	(829)	0%
45702	Transfer into Resource Recoveries - A102			0	0	1,693,639	1,693,639	0%
49100	Prior Year Surplus			0	130,022	94,928	(35,094)	137%
Revenue				0	132,492	1,963,067	1,830,575	7%

OPERATING EXPENSES

Account		Project		Current Month	Year To Date Actuals	Total Year Budget	Budget Remaining	Budget Utilization
51010	Salaries			66,830	494,633	1,071,025	576,392	46%
51020	Overtime			711	2,307	5,000	2,693	46%
51030	Benefits			19,567	148,600	311,095	162,495	48%
51050	Employee Health & Safety			68	980	11,500	10,520	9%
51060	Employee Recognition			0	86	3,500	3,414	2%
52010	Travel			1,259	5,672	9,000	3,328	63%
52020	Learning & Professional Development			20	11,119	10,709	(410)	104%
52030	Memberships, Dues & Subscriptions			861	6,005	14,000	7,995	43%
53020	Admin, Office Supplies & Postage			2,182	6,830	15,500	8,670	44%
53030	Communication			1,395	4,490	12,000	7,510	37%
53040	Advertising			109	1,804	52,000	50,196	3%
53050	Insurance			0	0	2,900	2,900	0%
53060	Bank Charges			0	9,891	20,000	10,109	49%
53080	Licence & Permits			18,618	18,618	6,000	(12,618)	310%
54010	Legal			0	0	1,000	1,000	0%
54030	Contracted Services			(18,618)	0	27,000	27,000	0%
54040	Consulting Fees			6,501	23,877	50,000	26,123	48%
55010	Repairs & Maintenance			1,789	3,270	9,000	5,730	36%
55020	Operating Supplies			1,858	8,402	19,000	10,598	44%
55030	Equipment			24	271	3,100	2,829	9%
55040	Utilities			673	2,144	5,700	3,556	38%
55050	Vehicles			2,234	4,342	9,000	4,658	48%
55060	Rentals			1,933	3,368	6,500	3,132	52%
57010	Grants			0	0	5,000	5,000	0%
Operating Expenses				108,012	756,709	1,679,529	922,820	45%

CAPITAL EXPENSES

Account		Project		Current Month	Year To Date Actuals	Total Year Budget	Budget Remaining	Budget Utilization
60000	Capital Expenditures			0	0	65,000	65,000	0%
Capital Expenses				0	0	65,000	65,000	0%

NON-OPERATING EXPENSES

Account		Project		Current Month	Year To Date Actuals	Total Year Budget	Budget Remaining	Budget Utilization
56610	Equipment Financing Interest			0	0	2,250	2,250	0%
56620	Equipment Financing Principal			0	0	25,000	25,000	0%
59500	Transfer to Other Service			0	0	25,960	25,960	0%
59502	Transfer to GIS Services - S102			0	0	24,519	24,519	0%
59510	Transfer to Other Service - General Admin. Fee			0	0	81,714	81,714	0%
59520	Transfer to Other Service - IT Fee			0	0	59,095	59,095	0%
Non-Operating Expenses				0	0	218,538	218,538	0%

Total Service	(108,012)	(624,217)	(0)		

Regional District of Central Kootenay

Unaudited Service Statement

S186 Refuse Disposal (East Subregion)-Creston and Areas A, B and C

Period: June 2026

REVENUE

Account		Project	Workorder		Current Month	Year To Date Actuals	Total Year Budget	Budget Remaining	Budget Utilization
41010	Requisitions				0	0	1,676,724	1,676,724	0%
41020	Grants in lieu of Taxes				0	0	1,000	1,000	0%
42020	Sale of Services				149,336	684,239	1,369,293	685,054	50%
42030	User Fees				0	676	164,031	163,355	0%
42035	User Fees - Specified				0	2,738	5,258	2,520	52%
43020	Grants				0	0	53,108	53,108	0%
43100	Proceeds from Borrowing				0	0	2,705,480	2,705,480	0%
43505	External Contributions & Contracts - Specified				0	0	2,500	2,500	0%
44020	Investment Income & Interest				0	0	50,000	50,000	0%
45000	Transfer from Reserves				0	0	1,800,729	1,800,729	0%
49100	Prior Year Surplus				0	443,462	156,217	(287,245)	284%
Revenue					149,336	1,131,116	7,984,340	6,853,224	14%

OPERATING EXPENSES

Account		Project	Workorder		Current Month	Year To Date Actuals	Total Year Budget	Budget Remaining	Budget Utilization
51010	Salaries				20,789	105,975	214,331	108,356	49%
51020	Overtime				117	336	1,000	664	34%
51030	Benefits				3,068	18,691	54,488	35,797	34%
51050	Employee Health & Safety				150	1,198	3,375	2,177	36%
51060	Employee Recognition				0	0	2,000	2,000	0%
51500	Directors - Allowance & Stipend				0	4,076	18,099	14,023	23%
51560	Directors - Travel				0	0	3,000	3,000	0%
52010	Travel				403	2,887	11,000	8,113	26%
52020	Learning & Professional Development				0	368	2,500	2,132	15%
53020	Admin, Office Supplies & Postage				403	569	900	331	63%
53030	Communication				573	3,396	5,839	2,443	58%
53040	Advertising				0	259	3,362	3,103	8%
53050	Insurance				2,254	2,254	3,153	899	71%
53080	Licence & Permits				6,777	8,885	18,483	9,598	48%
54030	Contracted Services				138,086	303,004	796,067	493,063	38%
54040	Consulting Fees				7,974	7,974	103,000	95,026	8%
55010	Repairs & Maintenance				2,300	6,900	24,700	17,800	28%
55020	Operating Supplies				0	505	2,825	2,320	18%
55030	Equipment				0	107	5,580	5,473	2%
55040	Utilities				0	1,137	2,998	1,861	38%
55060	Rentals				482	482	0	(482)	0%
57010	Grants				500	500	26,906	26,406	2%
Operating Expenses					183,875	469,502	1,303,606	834,104	36%

Account		Project	Workorder		Current Month	Year To Date Actuals	Total Year Budget	Budget Remaining	Budget Utilization

CAPITAL EXPENSES

Account		Project	Workorder		Current Month	Year To Date Actuals	Total Year Budget	Budget Remaining	Budget Utilization
60000	Capital Expenditures				18,154	23,885	4,421,930	4,398,045	1%
Capital Expenses					18,154	23,885	4,421,930	4,398,045	1%

NON-OPERATING EXPENSES

Account		Project	Workorder		Current Month	Year To Date Actuals	Total Year Budget	Budget Remaining	Budget Utilization
56010	Debenture Interest				0	22,071	119,373	97,302	18%
56020	Debenture Principal				0	0	130,612	130,612	0%
56110	Short-Term Financing Interest				0	0	49,719	49,719	0%
59000	Contribution to Reserve				250,363	250,363	609,419	359,056	41%
59500	Transfer to Other Service				0	0	2,009	2,009	0%
59510	Transfer to Other Service - General Admin. Fee				0	0	79,216	79,216	0%
59520	Transfer to Other Service - IT Fee				0	0	9,954	9,954	0%
59700	Transfer to Environmental Services - A100				0	0	67,873	67,873	0%
59702	Transfer to Resource Recoveries - A102				0	0	283,409	283,409	0%
59704	Transfer to Park Services - A104				0	0	14,422	14,422	0%
59712	Transfer to Project Management - A112				4,360	11,820	20,000	8,180	59%
59716	Transfer to Recycling Program - East - A116				0	0	395,069	395,069	0%
59719	Transfer to Organics Program - East - A119				0	0	460,123	460,123	0%
59722	Transfer to Asset Management - A122				0	0	17,606	17,606	0%
Non-Operating Expenses					254,723	284,254	2,258,804	1,974,550	13%

Total Service					(307,416)	353,475	(0)		

Regional District of Central Kootenay
Unaudited Service Statement

S187 Refuse Disposal (Central Subregion)-Nelson, Kaslo, Salmo and Areas D, E, F, and G
Period: June 2026

REVENUE

Account		Project	Workorder		Current Month	Year To Date Actuals	Total Year Budget	Budget Remaining	Budget Utilization
41010	Requisitions				0	0	4,094,004	4,094,004	0%
41020	Grants in lieu of Taxes				0	0	52,105	52,105	0%
42020	Sale of Services				220,339	1,095,747	2,209,759	1,114,012	50%
42025	Sale of Services - Specified				13,742	46,824	159,824	113,000	29%
42030	User Fees				767	1,740	38,082	36,342	5%
42035	User Fees - Specified				0	4,935	15,284	10,349	32%
43020	Grants				0	0	110,542	110,542	0%
43035	Community Works Grants - Specified				0	0	300,000	300,000	0%
43100	Proceeds from Borrowing				0	0	747,000	747,000	0%
43200	Proceeds from Equipment Financing				0	0	1,180,413	1,180,413	0%
43300	Proceeds from Asset Disposal				0	0	100,000	100,000	0%
43500	External Contributions & Contracts				0	0	8,432	8,432	0%
43505	External Contributions & Contracts - Specified				0	637	0	(637)	0%
45000	Transfer from Reserves				0	0	1,538,934	1,538,934	0%
45500	Transfer from Other Service				0	0	107,220	107,220	0%
49100	Prior Year Surplus				0	(7,426,410)	0	7,426,410	0%
Revenue					234,848	(6,276,527)	10,661,599	16,938,126	-59%

OPERATING EXPENSES

Account		Project	Workorder		Current Month	Year To Date Actuals	Total Year Budget	Budget Remaining	Budget Utilization
51010	Salaries				93,403	513,527	1,000,933	487,406	51%
51020	Overtime				511	3,138	8,500	5,362	37%
51030	Benefits				19,740	118,139	276,664	158,525	43%
51050	Employee Health & Safety				302	2,735	12,016	9,281	23%
51060	Employee Recognition				0	0	4,000	4,000	0%
51500	Directors - Allowance & Stipend				0	12,434	22,960	10,526	54%
51560	Directors - Travel				0	0	1,127	1,127	0%
51565	Directors - Mileage				0	557	0	(557)	0%
52010	Travel				412	1,859	8,176	6,317	23%
52020	Learning & Professional Development				185	1,075	5,500	4,425	20%
52030	Memberships, Dues & Subscriptions				130	130	130	0	100%
53020	Admin, Office Supplies & Postage				61	284	1,250	966	23%
53030	Communication				1,513	11,664	27,481	15,817	42%
53040	Advertising				0	0	4,199	4,199	0%
53050	Insurance				6,406	7,896	34,311	26,415	23%

Account		Project	Workorder		Current Month	Year To Date Actuals	Total Year Budget	Budget Remaining	Budget Utilization
53080	Licence & Permits				19,041	19,915	47,540	27,625	42%
54010	Legal				337	3,316	55,000	51,684	6%
54030	Contracted Services				33,551	179,393	475,849	296,456	38%
54040	Consulting Fees				23,700	131,450	251,300	119,850	52%
55010	Repairs & Maintenance				33,291	133,923	282,050	148,127	47%
55020	Operating Supplies				622	3,352	23,155	19,803	14%
55030	Equipment				119	201	8,500	8,299	2%
55040	Utilities				360	5,154	9,701	4,547	53%
55050	Vehicles				20,302	94,279	174,871	80,592	54%
57010	Grants				0	0	62,531	62,531	0%
Operating Expenses					253,984	1,244,421	2,797,744	1,553,323	44%

CAPITAL EXPENSES

Account		Project	Workorder		Current Month	Year To Date Actuals	Total Year Budget	Budget Remaining	Budget Utilization
60000	Capital Expenditures				21,205	188,393	3,114,667	2,926,274	6%
Capital Expenses					21,205	188,393	3,114,667	2,926,274	6%

NON-OPERATING EXPENSES

Account		Project	Workorder		Current Month	Year To Date Actuals	Total Year Budget	Budget Remaining	Budget Utilization
56010	Debenture Interest				0	103,299	367,893	264,594	28%
56020	Debenture Principal				0	256,736	369,482	112,746	69%
56110	Short-Term Financing Interest				3,003	17,471	37,562	20,091	47%
56120	Short-Term Financing Principal				0	0	527,818	527,818	0%
56610	Equipment Financing Interest				157	1,712	56,000	54,288	3%
56620	Equipment Financing Principal				4,966	23,903	306,958	283,055	8%
59000	Contribution to Reserve				67,500	67,500	929,467	861,967	7%
59500	Transfer to Other Service				0	406	231,556	231,150	0%
59510	Transfer to Other Service - General Admin. Fee				0	0	178,358	178,358	0%
59520	Transfer to Other Service - IT Fee				0	0	28,817	28,817	0%
59700	Transfer to Environmental Services - A100				0	0	118,097	118,097	0%
59702	Transfer to Resource Recoveries - A102				0	0	493,119	493,119	0%
59704	Transfer to Park Services - A104				0	0	6,614	6,614	0%
59712	Transfer to Project Management - A112				13,530	20,750	19,500	(1,250)	106%
59717	Transfer to Recycling Program - Central - A117				0	0	829,142	829,142	0%
59720	Transfer to Organics Program - Central and West - A120				0	0	232,059	232,059	0%
59722	Transfer to Asset Management - A122				0	0	16,746	16,746	0%
Non-Operating Expenses					89,156	491,776	4,749,188	4,257,412	10%

Total Service					(129,497)	(8,201,118)	0		

Regional District of Central Kootenay
Unaudited Service Statement

S188 Refuse Disposal (West Subregion)-Castlegar, New Denver, Slocan and Area H, I, J, and K
Period: June 2026

REVENUE

Account		Project	Workorder		Current Month	Year To Date Actuals	Total Year Budget	Budget Remaining	Budget Utilization
41010	Requisitions				0	0	850,697	850,697	0%
41020	Grants in lieu of Taxes				0	0	5,270	5,270	0%
42020	Sale of Services				300,478	1,756,255	3,539,507	1,783,252	50%
42025	Sale of Services - Specified				7,117	43,217	0	(43,217)	0%
42030	User Fees				0	34,639	25,000	(9,639)	139%
42035	User Fees - Specified				0	5,552	10,515	4,963	53%
43025	Grants - Specified				0	0	81,083	81,083	0%
43505	External Contributions & Contracts - Specified				0	0	7,500	7,500	0%
45000	Transfer from Reserves				0	0	4,731,345	4,731,345	0%
45500	Transfer from Other Service				0	0	490,491	490,491	0%
49100	Prior Year Surplus				0	2,315,529	2,330,528	14,999	99%
Revenue					307,595	4,155,192	12,071,936	7,916,744	34%

OPERATING EXPENSES

Account		Project	Workorder		Current Month	Year To Date Actuals	Total Year Budget	Budget Remaining	Budget Utilization
51010	Salaries				20,512	153,630	340,426	186,796	45%
51020	Overtime				57	1,102	5,300	4,198	21%
51030	Benefits				2,337	28,422	85,762	57,340	33%
51050	Employee Health & Safety				330	617	8,266	7,649	7%
51060	Employee Recognition				0	0	4,000	4,000	0%
51500	Directors - Allowance & Stipend				0	13,036	35,446	22,410	37%
51560	Directors - Travel				0	0	1,000	1,000	0%
51565	Directors - Mileage				0	179	0	(179)	0%
52010	Travel				2,054	5,962	10,743	4,781	55%
52020	Learning & Professional Development				389	1,475	4,000	2,525	37%
53020	Admin, Office Supplies & Postage				0	10	1,660	1,650	1%
53030	Communication				2,438	7,902	12,995	5,093	61%
53040	Advertising				0	0	4,825	4,825	0%
53050	Insurance				3,966	3,966	5,763	1,797	69%
53080	Licence & Permits				15,003	15,509	29,052	13,543	53%
54030	Contracted Services				123,498	503,761	1,548,060	1,044,299	33%
54040	Consulting Fees				2,153	18,115	30,000	11,885	60%
55010	Repairs & Maintenance				5,084	25,428	73,900	48,472	34%
55020	Operating Supplies				217	1,882	9,000	7,118	21%
55030	Equipment				0	0	21,575	21,575	0%

Account		Project	Workorder		Current Month	Year To Date Actuals	Total Year Budget	Budget Remaining	Budget Utilization
55040	Utilities				678	5,637	11,687	6,050	48%
55050	Vehicles				0	471	1,875	1,404	25%
57010	Grants				1,186	1,499	47,482	45,983	3%
Operating Expenses					179,902	788,604	2,292,817	1,504,213	34%

CAPITAL EXPENSES

Account		Project	Workorder		Current Month	Year To Date Actuals	Total Year Budget	Budget Remaining	Budget Utilization
60000	Capital Expenditures				66,043	78,152	4,576,043	4,497,891	2%
Capital Expenses					66,043	78,152	4,576,043	4,497,891	2%

NON-OPERATING EXPENSES

Account		Project	Workorder		Current Month	Year To Date Actuals	Total Year Budget	Budget Remaining	Budget Utilization
56010	Debenture Interest				36,414	35,217	105,608	70,391	33%
56020	Debenture Principal				44,973	44,973	66,041	21,068	68%
59000	Contribution to Reserve				1,379,252	1,379,252	3,510,696	2,131,444	39%
59500	Transfer to Other Service				0	0	105,701	105,701	0%
59510	Transfer to Other Service - General Admin. Fee				0	0	124,665	124,665	0%
59520	Transfer to Other Service - IT Fee				0	0	8,442	8,442	0%
59700	Transfer to Environmental Services - A100				0	0	97,956	97,956	0%
59702	Transfer to Resource Recoveries - A102				0	0	409,019	409,019	0%
59704	Transfer to Park Services - A104				0	0	6,704	6,704	0%
59712	Transfer to Project Management - A112				15,450	21,450	190,502	169,052	11%
59718	Transfer to Recycling Program - West - A118				0	0	251,578	251,578	0%
59720	Transfer to Organics Program - Central and West - A120				0	0	310,970	310,970	0%
59722	Transfer to Asset Management - A122				0	0	15,194	15,194	0%
Non-Operating Expenses					1,476,089	1,480,892	5,203,076	3,722,184	28%

Total Service					(1,414,440)	1,807,544	(0)		