



Negotiated Request for Proposals

Edgewood Water System: Watermain Replacement PN13 – Design Services NRFP No.: PRJ26013

ADDENDUM NO. 1

Issued: April 17, 2026

Closing Date: 2:00 pm Pacific Time, April 27, 2026

This Addendum shall be read in conjunction with and considered as an integral part of the Contract Documents; revisions supersede the information contained in the original specifications or previously issued Addendum. Price submitted shall include all items of this Addendum.

By this **Addendum No. 1**, dated April 17, 2026, the Negotiated Request for Proposals documents for NRFP No.: PRJ26013 - Edgewood Water System: Watermain Replacement PN13 – Design Services shall be amended as specified below.

QUESTIONS & ANSWERS:

Q1) There is no expectation/requirement for the Consultant to model the system, this has been completed by others?

A1) This has not been completed. Modelling of the system is not included within this scope.

Q2) Can RDCK provide an estimated construction timeline to Bidders to maintain consistency in preparing the proposal fees, or is the intent that each Bidder will estimate the timeline?

A2) Proponents shall estimate the construction timeline and outline assumptions within their proposals.

Q3) The RFP indicates that the RDCK will hire a site inspector for the project. The RFP also indicates that the successful consultant will be the RDCK's authorized representative and will be responsible for ensuring project quality. Is the intent that the RDCK's inspector will coordinate with the consultant and deliver information and instructions between the consultant and the contractor?

A3) The intent of the RDCK's inspector is to act as the RDCK's representative, and to capture general information and daily progress only. The consultant is expected to deliver information and instructions to the contractor directly.

Q4) The RFP indicates that the consultant will be required to attend all site meetings. How many site meetings are anticipated, and can attendance be completed virtually?

A4) "Site meetings" referenced in Schedule A, Item 4, b, (iv) are anticipated to be held weekly. These may be held remotely, or on-site concurrently with the consultant's site reviews/inspections.

Q5) Are the site photos to be provided to the consultant by the RDCK's site inspector?

A5) Site photos taken by the RDCK's site inspector can be provided to the consultant. Consultant can request the inspector captures specific photos or general information.

Q6) Are there as-built drawings with block profiles of the site or water master plans available?

A6) Refer to Schedule C for available information. No as-built drawings with block profiles or water master plans are available.

Q7) Has a geotechnical investigation been completed? If so, can this information be provided? And if not, should the proponent's proposal include a firm or optional price for a geotechnical investigation?

A7) A geotechnical investigation has not been completed and is not anticipated to be necessary for this work. The intention is for the existing watermain within the road prism to be abandoned and the new watermain to be installed outside of the road prism per the MOTT Utility Policy Manual, eliminating disturbance to the road structure.

Q8) Will RDCK be coordinating materials testing during construction with their own sub-consultant, or should the proponent carry a fee allowance for this work in their proposal?

A8) Material testing shall be completed by the contractor. The RDCK will pay for independent testing if determined necessary during construction.

Q9) Do you anticipate archaeological or environmental services to be required for this project?

A9) Based on the watermain's location within the road right-of-way, the site is not expected to be archaeologically nor environmentally sensitive.

Except as modified by Addendum No. 1 issued April 17, 2026, the Negotiated Request for Proposals documents for NRFP No.: PRJ26013 shall remain unchanged.



Jeannine Bradley
Project Manager

- END OF DOCUMENT -